# BUILDING DIGEST

## CENTRAL BUILDING RESEARCH INSTITUTE INDIA



### ECONOMY IN THE USE OF CEMENT IN RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS

### Introduction

Inspite of the fact that the target set for cement production at the end of the Third Plan records a substantial increase over that achieved in the Second Plan, the bulk of the present supply is likely to be diverted for essential constructions connected with defence requirements, leaving very little for civilian use such as residential buildings, civic amenities, commercial establishments, school etc. It is therefore imperative to effect utmost economy in the consumption of cement so that the available supplies can be utilised to maximum advantage. This Digest describes some of the ways and means of effecting saving in cement consumption in the construction of residential buildings.

The recommendations made in the Digest have been based on the work done in the Institute and reports of Committees appointed by N.B.O. and the Planning

Commission. Some of the recommendations present a departure from the standard practice and would normally be recommended only after experience over an adequate serviceable life has been gained. The present conditions however justify such a departure even though it may involve some element of extra care and control in construction.

#### **Foundation Concrete**

In the case of poor soils or framed structure with heavy loads and columns, systematic examination of the bearing capacity of the soil can often lead to significant economy in foundations. For residential buildings, not more than three storeys high, the use of cement in foundation concrete can be eliminated except in areas with excessive soluble salts or in areas with high sub-soil water-level. The specifications suitable for foundation beds are given in Table I. Concrete

#### TABLE I

#### MORTARS FOR FOUNDATION CONCRETE

(Partly based on the Report of the Experts Committee (NBO) on Economy in the use of cement in Building Construction).

S. No.	Situation		Type of Mortar (By volume)	I.S.I. Grading of lime	Percentage saving in cement**	Remarks
1.	Dry subgrade with sub-soil water-level never within 8"-0" of the foundation level	(i)	1 lime, 2 sand	A	100	Normally suitable for
		(ii)	1 lime, 1 surkhi and 1 sand	B,C or A	100	buildings not more than three storeys high. The quantity of mortar to be
		(iii)	1 lime, 2 surkhi	B, or C or A	100	used will depend on the grading of aggregates
		(iv)	1 cement, 3 lime and 12 sand	B or C	76.4	
		(v)	1 cement, 5 sand		37.8	Normally suitable for buildings upto two storeys and the corresponding concrete mix will be 1:5:10
2.	Moist sub-grade with high sub- soil water. Usually 8'-0" or less below fundation level	(i)	1 cement, 4 sand		23.6	The corresponding concrete mix will be 1:4:8 •
		(ii)	1 cement, 3 sand			and 1:3:6 respectively.

<sup>\*</sup> I.S.I. grading of lime: -

Hydraulic lime-A

Semi-hydraulic lime-B

Non-Hydraulic lime - C

†Building Digest No. 11 Bearing Capacity of Soils

<sup>\*\*</sup>Compared to concrete with cement mortar 1:3 (1 cement: 3 sand)

### TABLE II

### MIX PROPORTIONS FOR MASONRY LIME MORTARS

(Based on IS: 1625-1962)

			d on 13.					
				Proporti	ons of mix	10.000, 2012 (30.2)		
Sl. No.	Sub-grade Condition	*Type of loading	g Lime	Cement	Pozzolana	Sand or Pozzolana aggregate	Remarks	
Tresont.	metral moments for the	n G				aggregate	กราง	
+	<b>A.</b>	MASONRY I	N FOUN	DATION	AND P	LINTH		
11/11/19		Light )	1 C	_	1	2	Pozzolana is essentially a silicious material which while	
	Ancho Michigal	)	1 B or A			3	in itself possessing no ce- mentitous properties will in	
		)	3 C	1	3	9	finely divided from and in the presence of water react with	
(i)	Dry	)	3 B	1	_	12	calcium hydroxide at ordinary temperature to form com-	
	program territoria de la ligación de La companyación de la ligación de l	Medium )	1 C	1	2	4	pound possessing cementi- tious properties, e.g. surkhi	
gj. bj. l	ering of the second	Heavy )	1 B	1	_	6	(IS: 1344-1959) Cinder, Coal ash, fly ash etc.	
(ii)	Moist, but no soluble sul- phates present	Light ) { Medium or ) Heavy )	1 B	1		6		
(iii)	Moist soluble sulphates present	Light Medium or Heavy	1 B	1	_	6		
	В.	MASONRY	WALLS	IN SUP	ERSTRUC	CTURE		
	TYPE OF WALL							
		( Light )	1 C	_	1	1 to 2		
		or )	1 B	_	_	2 to 3		
		Medium )	**8 to 9B	4 to 3	·-	36		
(i)	Solid wall	Heavy	2 B	1	—	. 9		
		Very	1 B	1		6		
	letonaci vili, biso y	Heavy						
(ii)	Cavity walls		1 B	1	_	6		
		C. MASO	NRY IN	TALL C	HIMNEY	S		
	TYPE OF WALL AND LOADING							
(i)	Generally		1 A	A <u>400</u>	_	2 to 3		
(ii)	For heavy or Very heavy loading		2 B	1	<u>-</u> :	9		
		D. P(	DINTING	TO MA	SONRY			
0 1			1 A			1.		
(i)	In all cases		6 B	1		7		

<sup>\*</sup> Light loading—4 k/g cm $^2$ ; Medium loading—4-6 kg/cm $^2$ , Heavy loading—6-8 kg/cm $^2$ \*\*The proportion shall be such that the sum of lime and cement is equal to 12

normally used for foundations is of the proportion 1:3:6 or 1:4:8. Since the load in most of the buildings is small, cement concrete 1:5:10 may be used for residential buildings upto two storeys. The use of this mix in normal foundation work results in a saving of 18.6 percent in cement as compared to a 1:4:8 concrete.

### Masonry in Basement

Rich cement mortar used in damp proof course can be advantageously replaced by other materials e.g. polythene film, tarfelts, aluminium foils, bitumen or pitch coatings. The plastics or bitumen impregnated d.p.c. materials can withstand the effects of settlement of a structure to a considerable degree and provide a more satisfactory impermeable barrier to rising moisture. To ensure better performance these sheeting materials should be laid according to manufacturer's instructions. The replacement of 1½ in. cement concrete (1:2:4) d.p.c. by black polythene film (Gauge 400) laid on a ½ in. thick cement mortar (1:4) can result in an appreciable saving in cement.

In low-cost houses where the foundation is always dry it is of advantage to leave the vertical joints of two brick course unfilled. This reduces the capillary rise of moisture and may well replace a d.p.c. in moderate

conditions of exposure.

In areas with low average rain fall, foundations and plinth masonry of single storeyed building can be laid in well burnt bricks in mud mortar and pointed in cement: lime mortar (1:6:7). The resultant saving in cement is nearly 94 percent as compared to ordinary brick work laid in cement mortar (1:6).

Table II gives the recommedations for mortars in

foundation masonry and plinth.

### Masonry in Superstructure

The strength of brick work depends upon a correct balance of the strengths of bricks and mortars. In fact, for a particular strength of bricks, there is an optimum mix of mortar which gives the best results and richer mixes have hardly any advantage. For example, pillars built with bricks of less than 3,000 lb/sq. inch compressive strength in cement-lime-sand mortar (1:1:6) have been found to have as much as 96% of the compressive strength of pillars built in cement-sand-mortar (1:3) although the compressive strength of cement-lime-sand mortar was only 60 percent of the compressive strength of straight cement-sand mortar. Mortars rich in cement are also liable to shrinkage cracks leading to moisture penetration. Lime

mortars or cement-lime-sand mortars possess on the other hand all the desirable qualities of a masonry mortar i.e. good workability, adequate strength, good adhesion, and durability. These can therefore be used in all masonry constructions in place of straight cement-sand mortars.

In certain parts of North India, the super structure in single storey houses is built with well burnt bricks laid in mud mortar and protected from rain by ordinary pointing or plastering. This too ensures a considerable saving in cement. However, use of mud mortar in certain conditions can result in increase in the thinkness of walls and involve higher consumption of bricks which is also a scarce material.

Long walls can be constructed in pillar and panel construction with pillars in cement-lime-sand mortar (1:1:6) and panels in mud mortar with cement-lime pointing. Similarly, the use of cement mortar in partition wall can be eliminated with consequent saving in cement. Stone masonry can also be laid in cement-lime-sand mortar (1:2:9) or (1:3:12) in conjunction with crushed stone or coarse sand of suitable gradation.

The use of cavity walls can serve the dual purpose of effecting saving in the consumption of bricks and cement. For example a 11 inch cavity wall laid in cement-lime-sand mortar (1:1:6) can substitute a 13½ in. solid brick wall laid in cement mortar (1:6), resulting in 30 per cent savings each in brick and cement. Besides, the cavity walls offer greater resistance to rain-penetration and provide better thermal insulation as compared to solid brick walls.

In Table II, the recommendations for masonry in super structure have been given. It will be observed that mortars recommended for pointing are not

necessarily rich in cement.

Renderings

Cement plasters of various compositions are usually specified for internal and external renderings. Straight cement-sand plasters are vulnerable to defects such as crazing and cracking with consequent penetration of rain-water. In many parts of the country, where rainfall is not excessive, external plastering can be substituted by pointing alone provided roofs and chhajjahs are correctly detailed and well burnt bricks are used. Plastering below damp proof course may be done in cement-lime-sand mortar (1:1:6). In internal plastering it is preferable to use lime mortars or cement lime sand mortar. A list of alternative specifications for plaster on walls and ceilings are given in Table III.

### TABLE III RECOMMENDED PLASTER SPECIFICATIONS (Extracted from IS: 1661-1960)

SI.	SITUATION			MIX		Charactions		Remarks	
		Cement	Lime	Pozzolana	Sand	Class of Lime (IS: 712-1956)	Thickness		
1.	External plaster below damp				11.				
2.	proof course External plaster above damp	1.	1	-	. 6	'B' or 'C'	10 to 15 mm	Single coat on low or medium cost	
	proof course	1	2	-	9 2 to 3	'B' or 'C' 'A'	10 to 15 mm.	buildings in areas	
			Ī	1	1 to 2	'B' or 'C'		fall-1300 mm/yr & sub-soil water	
3.	Internal plaster in all low or							within 2.5 m	
	medium cost houses	1	3		12 9	'B' or 'C'		below the ground	
		1	2	_		. ,,,		surface	
			1	_	2 to 3	'Ä'	ė		
			1		1 to 2	'B' or 'C'			

Particulars  Element Item		Quantity	Original Specification	Quantity of Cement	Kecollinended Alter	Quantity of cement in cwts.	Cement Savings in cwts.
		Qualitity	Oliginal Specimenton	in cwts.	native specification		
(A) Foundation and Plinth	Concrete in founda- tion	474 cft.	C.C. I ; 5 : 10	35.50	Concrete without cement (table I)	Nil	35.50
	B.B. work in founda- tion	672 cft.	C mortar 1:6	24.36	Without cement (Table 2)	Nil	24.36
	D.P.C.	93 sft.	1½" thick of C.C. 1:2:4	2.25	Alkathene over 1/4" thick C. mortar 1:4	0.40	1.85
(B) Superstruc- ture	B.B. Work	1716 cft.	C. mortar 1:6	62.21	Mortar without cement	Nil	62.21
	B.B. work in 4½" thick walls	1524 sft.	C. mortar 1:3	31.03	Lime cement mortar 1:2:9	12.93	18.10
	B.B. work in window projections	16 sft.	C. mortar 1:3	0.32	-do-	0.14	0.18
	Stone work	9 cft.	C. mortar 1:6	0.44	same as original	0.44	Nil
(C) Staircase	Steps and landings	50 cft.	Cement concrete 1:2:4	8.50	same as original	8.50	Nil
(D) Roofing	Railing walls	20 cft.	-do-	3.40	-do-	3.40	Nil
	Slab work	775 cft.	-do-	131.75	R. C. C. slab for 1st floor & D.C. tiles for roof	116.75	15.00
	Beams	75 cft.	-do-	12.75	same as original	12.75	Nil
(E) Flooring	Flat brick floor	164 sft.	C. mortar 1:3	0.87	-do∙	0.87	Nil
	1" P.C.C. floor	348 sft.	C. concrete 1:2:4	4.93	-do-	4.93	Nil
	1½" P.C.C. floor	150 sft.	-do	3.20	-do-	. 3.20	Nil
	Terrazzo floor	187 sft.	3/8" thick (1:2) on P.C.C. 5/8" (1:2:4)	4.30	-do-	4.30	Nil
	-do-	868 sft.	3-4/8" thick (1:2) or P.C.C. 1 1\frac{1}{3}" thick (1:2:4)	26,90	-do-	26.90	Nil
(F) Finishings	3" thick cement dado	30 sft.	Cement mortar 1:3	0.75	Same as in original	0.75	Nil
	Terrazzo dado	286 sft.	3/8" thick (1:2) on C. mortar 3/8" thick	9.32	-do-	9.32	Nil
	Pointing to tiles	840 sft.	C. mortar 1:3	4.20	Lime cement mortar 1:6:7	1.50	2.70
	Internal plaster &" thick	4000 sft.	C.M. 1:6	48.00	Lime cement mortar 1:3:12	22.40	25.60
	External plaster ½" thick above D.P.C	2800 sft.	-do-	24.00	Lime C. mortar 1:	17.50	6.50
	External plaster below D.P.C.	553 sft.	-do-	4.74	Same as in original	4.74	Nil
	Flush pointing to Brick work	60 sft.	C. mortar 1:3	0.52	Lime cement mortar 1:6:7	0.20	0.32
(G) Miscellaneous	S Chullah Lintel work	1 No. 25 cft.	R.C.C. 1:2:4	1.00 4.25	Same as in original R. C. C. 1:2:4 (Provide 3" lintels	1.00 - 2.50	Nil 1.75
	Chajjas	49 cft.	-do-	8.33	Same as in original	8.33	Nil
TOTAL CONSUM	APTION OF CEMENT	1		457.82 Or 22.89 APPRO	ton or 13. XIMATE SAVING IN	263.75 19 tons o N CEMEN	

In ground floors, the base course can be laid in lime concrete thereby entirely eliminating the use of lean cement concrete. For wearing course stone slabs can be used in areas where stone is plentiful. In multistoreyed residential buildings, the roof slab can serve as floor without any additional wearing course; if the slab is finished immediately after laying. The practice of using additional neat cement mortar on top of the R.C.C. slab in order to obtain better finish is generally not successful as the mortar usually peels off after some time. Suitable finish can be obtained by control on water content and use of screed vibrators.

### Roofs and Lintels

The N.B.O. report on Low Cost Housing, gives some specifications for flat roofs which economise in The use of shell in roofing the use of cement. considerable has shown houses residential promise. The doubtly curved shell units developed\* in the Institute economise about 45 and 40 per cent in the consumption of cement and steel respectively as compared to the conventional 4-inch R.C.C. slab. These shell units can also be cast in slab. lime-surkhi concrete leading to further economy in cement. On large housing project, the construction can be speeded up by precasting them while the walls are being built. These units were recently employed on a very large scale for the construction of 1,500 houses in a housing project and the construction was completed in the record period of seven months.

The Institute has also developed other types of flat roofs of a composite nature (partly precast and partly cast in-situ) which offer suitable alternatives to R.C.C. slab for spans upto 14 ft. The roofs comprise of precast curved hollow units of guna tiles encased in concrete and precast doubly curved tiles of plain cement concrete. The savings in cement consumption as compared to a 4-inch R.C.C. slab are 28 and 24 per cent respectively. Besides these roofs also economise in steel consumption ranging from 36 to 63 per cent\*\*.

The use of prestressed beams and R.C.C. precast slabs either plain or ribbed as evolved by Hindustan Housing Factory (New Delhi) also leads to considerable economy in cement consumption. Where terraces are not intended for sleeping purposes, the use of sloping roof can be considered.

At places where the use of 13-inch cement concrete laid in panels over a layer of lime concrete is common, the top 1½ inch cement concrete can be substituted by natural slab stone laid in cement mortar (containing waterproofing compound). Where R.C.C. lintels (precast or cast in-situ, have adequate (approx. 35 per cent of the span) masonry on top and sides, advantage can be taken of this masonry and the lintel designed as a composite element consisting of concrete and brick work. Work carried out in U.K. and the Institute has indicated that most of the lintels in residential buildings need only be 1½ to 3 inches thick, reinforced with 3 nos. 1 to 3/8 inch dia M.S. bars placed in the centre. These designs besides resulting in 50 to 75 per cent economy in cement can be readily precast and speed up the construction.

### Miscellaneous

Cement need not be used, while the shortage lasts, in approach roads etc. and tarmac or gravel can be a good substitute. All ornamental finishing involving the use of cement can be avoided. It is well known that prefabricated or prestressed concrete components are economical in the use of scarce materials and their use in appropriate circumstances deserves consideration in the present situation.

Some economy in cement may be effected by carefully planning the layout of sewers and drains. In good hard soil, pipes can be laid in lime concrete. Cement concrete surrounds need not be used unless they are essential for structural stability.

Even where cement concrete has to be used some economy in cement is possible by scientifically designing the concrete mixes and employing quality control at the site. More care in use and storage of cement will not only save cement but should result in a better job. Adequate attention to storage conditions at site will help the supplies to go farther by preventing deterioration. Details of suitable design for cement storage can easily be obtained from the advisory services of cement companies.

#### Conclusion

To illustrate the saving likely to result by adopting some of the alternative specifications mentioned in this Digest, results of a case-study of a semi-detached doublestoreyed residential building in Western U.P. is given in Table IV. A saving of nearly 42.2 per cent in the consumption of cement is reported from the study.

There is a demand for short notes summarising available information on selected building topics for the use of Engineers and Architects in India. To meet the need this Institute is bringing out a series of Building Digests from time to time and the present one is the sixteenth in the series.

<sup>\*</sup>D.C. Shell Brochure—CBRI Publication \*\*Inexpensive Roofs for Small Houses -- Building Digest No. 5